

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 12/13/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/807,409	03/24/2004	Tze Wan Pansy Chung	505 US	3858
53476	7590 12/13/2006		EXAM	INER
JOSEPH A TESSARI			WATKINS III, WILLIAM P	
TREDEGAR FILM PRODUCTS 1100 BOULDERS PARKWAY		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
RICHMOND, VA 23225			1772	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

*	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Cummons	10/807,409	CHUNG ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	William P. Watkins III	1772			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 S	eptember 2006.				
· · · ·	action is non-final.				
. ,—					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>32-53</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) 32-53 are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.	•			
Application Papers					
		·			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
1: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)	A) 🗖 lata a fam 6	(DTO 442)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal F				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)				

Application/Control Number: 10/807,409 Page 2

Art Unit: 1772

Election/Restrictions

- 1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 28 September 2006 has been entered.
- 2. Applicant's submission filed with the RCE request on 28
 September 2006 canceled all pending claims and presented a
 complete new set of claims with specific limitations not
 previously claimed. In particular independent claim 32 contains
 a Markush group with four different species of retractive
 mechanisms that are each separately claimed in the dependent
 claims. In such a case restriction before a further action on
 the merits is appropriate, even though an action of the merits
 of the case has previously been given (see MPEP 808.01(a)).

Application/Control Number: 10/807,409 Page 3

Art Unit: 1772

3. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:

- I) a retractive mechanism having a second plurality of elongated cells as described in part (a) of claim 32 (claims 32, 33, 35, 36 and 37), which is classified in class 428, subclass 131, II) a retractive mechanism with a zig-zag adhesive pattern as described in part (b) of claim 32 (claims 32, 38, and 39), which is classified in class 428, subclass 198,
- III) a retractive mechanism with a second webbed material with elongated cells as described in part (c) of claim 32 (claims 32, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44 and 45), which is classified in class 428, subclass 137, and
- IV) a retractive mechanism of a bridging element as described in part (d) of claim 32 (claims 32, 46, 47, 48, 49, 50, 51, 52 and 53), which is classified in class 428, subclass 134.

The species are independent or distinct because they have mutually exclusive structures that provide retractive mechanisms. There is a significant burden on the examiner as each species has a separate classification and the fields of search do not overlap.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the

claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 32 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

4. A telephone call was not made to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be

Art Unit: 1772

traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must

Art Unit: 1772

be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William P. Watkins III whose telephone number is 571-272-1503. The examiner works an increased flex time schedule, but can normally be reached Monday through Friday, 11:30 A.M. through 8:00 P.M. Eastern Time. The examiner returns all calls within one business day unless an extended absence is noted on his voice mail greeting.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon can be reached on 571-272-1498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR of Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

WW/ww December 10, 2006 WILLIAM P. WATKINS III PRIMARY EXAMINER

Weller Malke